library(tidyverse)  
library(MASS)  
library(caret)

bike = read\_csv("hour.csv")

## Parsed with column specification:  
## cols(  
## instant = col\_double(),  
## dteday = col\_date(format = ""),  
## season = col\_double(),  
## yr = col\_double(),  
## mnth = col\_double(),  
## hr = col\_double(),  
## holiday = col\_double(),  
## weekday = col\_double(),  
## workingday = col\_double(),  
## weathersit = col\_double(),  
## temp = col\_double(),  
## atemp = col\_double(),  
## hum = col\_double(),  
## windspeed = col\_double(),  
## casual = col\_double(),  
## registered = col\_double(),  
## count = col\_double()  
## )

bike = bike %>%   
 mutate(season = as\_factor(as.character(season))) %>%  
 mutate(season = fct\_recode(season,  
 "Spring" = "1",  
 "Summer" = "2",  
 "Fall" = "3",  
 "Winter" = "4")) %>%  
 mutate(yr = as\_factor(as.character(yr))) %>%  
 mutate(mnth = as\_factor(as.character(mnth))) %>%  
 mutate(hr = as\_factor(as.character(hr))) %>%  
 mutate(holiday = as\_factor(as.character(holiday))) %>%  
 mutate(holiday = fct\_recode(holiday,  
 "NotHoliday" = "0",  
 "Holiday" = "1")) %>%  
 mutate(workingday = as\_factor(as.character(workingday))) %>%  
 mutate(workingday = fct\_recode(workingday,  
 "NotWorkingDay" = "0",  
 "WorkingDay" = "1")) %>%   
 mutate(weathersit = as\_factor(as.character(weathersit))) %>%  
 mutate(weathersit = fct\_recode(weathersit,  
 "NoPrecip" = "1",  
 "Misty" = "2",  
 "LightPrecip" = "3",  
 "HeavyPrecip" = "4")) %>%  
 mutate(weekday = as\_factor(as.character(weekday))) %>%  
 mutate(weekday = fct\_recode(weekday,  
 "Sunday" = "0",  
 "Monday" = "1",  
 "Tuesday" = "2",  
 "Wednesday" = "3",  
 "Thursday" = "4",  
 "Friday" = "5",  
 "Saturday" = "6"))

set.seed(1234)  
train.rows = createDataPartition(y = bike$count, p=0.7, list = FALSE)  
train = bike[train.rows,]  
test = bike[-train.rows,]

There are 12,167 and 5,212 rows of data in the train and test set respectively.

mod1 = lm(count ~ season + mnth + hr + holiday + weekday + temp + weathersit, train)  
summary(mod1)

##   
## Call:  
## lm(formula = count ~ season + mnth + hr + holiday + weekday +   
## temp + weathersit, data = train)  
##   
## Residuals:  
## Min 1Q Median 3Q Max   
## -419.31 -61.93 -9.98 52.57 504.24   
##   
## Coefficients:  
## Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)   
## (Intercept) -81.2946 6.9356 -11.721 < 2e-16 \*\*\*  
## seasonSummer 28.8486 6.4074 4.502 6.78e-06 \*\*\*  
## seasonFall 19.7865 7.6029 2.602 0.009266 \*\*   
## seasonWinter 62.0339 6.4333 9.643 < 2e-16 \*\*\*  
## mnth2 -0.8013 5.1396 -0.156 0.876114   
## mnth3 2.5584 5.7973 0.441 0.659003   
## mnth4 -1.2250 8.6334 -0.142 0.887166   
## mnth5 -1.5879 9.2279 -0.172 0.863382   
## mnth6 -15.3992 9.4846 -1.624 0.104485   
## mnth7 -38.8277 10.6085 -3.660 0.000253 \*\*\*  
## mnth8 -16.8557 10.3542 -1.628 0.103569   
## mnth9 5.4060 9.2152 0.587 0.557459   
## mnth10 -2.7341 8.5079 -0.321 0.747943   
## mnth11 -12.8043 8.2169 -1.558 0.119193   
## mnth12 -15.3615 6.5409 -2.349 0.018864 \*   
## hr1 -19.7855 6.9722 -2.838 0.004550 \*\*   
## hr2 -28.2440 6.9696 -4.052 5.10e-05 \*\*\*  
## hr3 -40.3146 7.0910 -5.685 1.34e-08 \*\*\*  
## hr4 -40.5469 7.0249 -5.772 8.03e-09 \*\*\*  
## hr5 -26.7454 6.9592 -3.843 0.000122 \*\*\*  
## hr6 32.8518 7.0435 4.664 3.13e-06 \*\*\*  
## hr7 161.3872 6.9925 23.080 < 2e-16 \*\*\*  
## hr8 312.2263 6.9502 44.923 < 2e-16 \*\*\*  
## hr9 164.2556 7.0163 23.411 < 2e-16 \*\*\*  
## hr10 107.1856 6.9552 15.411 < 2e-16 \*\*\*  
## hr11 139.6256 7.0057 19.930 < 2e-16 \*\*\*  
## hr12 179.7448 6.9778 25.760 < 2e-16 \*\*\*  
## hr13 178.6812 7.0201 25.453 < 2e-16 \*\*\*  
## hr14 156.2811 7.0628 22.127 < 2e-16 \*\*\*  
## hr15 168.7543 7.0939 23.788 < 2e-16 \*\*\*  
## hr16 228.1106 7.0881 32.182 < 2e-16 \*\*\*  
## hr17 377.6085 7.0185 53.802 < 2e-16 \*\*\*  
## hr18 347.7287 6.9806 49.813 < 2e-16 \*\*\*  
## hr19 238.7339 7.0128 34.043 < 2e-16 \*\*\*  
## hr20 159.7394 7.0231 22.745 < 2e-16 \*\*\*  
## hr21 108.1070 6.9494 15.556 < 2e-16 \*\*\*  
## hr22 72.3808 6.9874 10.359 < 2e-16 \*\*\*  
## hr23 32.5734 6.9996 4.654 3.30e-06 \*\*\*  
## holidayHoliday -29.0249 6.4088 -4.529 5.98e-06 \*\*\*  
## weekdaySunday -14.0349 3.7638 -3.729 0.000193 \*\*\*  
## weekdayMonday -6.5302 3.8944 -1.677 0.093604 .   
## weekdayTuesday -7.2790 3.8319 -1.900 0.057509 .   
## weekdayWednesday -3.2707 3.7984 -0.861 0.389212   
## weekdayThursday -1.7267 3.8053 -0.454 0.650004   
## weekdayFriday 1.3251 3.7744 0.351 0.725539   
## temp 288.1743 12.1860 23.648 < 2e-16 \*\*\*  
## weathersitMisty -19.6696 2.3717 -8.293 < 2e-16 \*\*\*  
## weathersitLightPrecip -94.1331 3.8166 -24.664 < 2e-16 \*\*\*  
## weathersitHeavyPrecip -80.2490 64.7672 -1.239 0.215356   
## ---  
## Signif. codes: 0 '\*\*\*' 0.001 '\*\*' 0.01 '\*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1  
##   
## Residual standard error: 111.9 on 12118 degrees of freedom  
## Multiple R-squared: 0.6217, Adjusted R-squared: 0.6202   
## F-statistic: 414.8 on 48 and 12118 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16

This model has variables variables that positively and negatively affect the count. It looks like hour of the day is very significant; each value for hour besides hour 1 (2-23) all have a significant correlation with count. The adjusted r-squared value is 0.6202 which is sort of middle of the pack; we’d like to see it closer to 1.

predict\_train = predict(mod1, newdata = train)  
head(predict\_train)

## 1 2 3 4 5 6   
## -37.68169 -46.14026 -52.44730 -52.67962 -58.54772 14.95557

Mostly negative values for these first 6 predictions.

predict\_test = predict(mod1, newdata = test)  
head(predict\_test)

## 1 2 3 4 5 6   
## -12.13272 137.72755 174.04493 17.56108 -22.20993 168.48847

Mostly positive values for these first 6 predictions.

SSE = sum((test$count - predict\_test)^2)  
SST = sum((test$count - mean(test$count))^2)  
1 - SSE/SST

## [1] 0.6289223

Manual calculation of the r-squared value yields an amount very similar to the model’s performance on the training set. Since it is a bit different from the r-squared value for the train set, it means the model is likely not overfitted. Therefore, the model is likely to perform the same on new data that we haven’t seen before, making it viable for deployment.

With k-fold cross-validation, you are modeling based on the entire data set. It builds multiple models based on the number of partitions (k). Within each model you are using some number of partitians as training data and leaving one out to use as test data. Each subsequent model will have a different partititan for the test data. On the other hand, model validation via a training/testing split takes the data and splits it in a ratio of (e.g. 70%) between training and testing. Then, models are created for both training and testing to compare the R-squared values.